



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,142	11/12/2003	Roger W. Phillips	48930-01703	6069
27975	7590	01/18/2006	EXAMINER	
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1732		

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT      PAPER

20060112

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on November 2, 2005 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant is arguing that the instant color shifting effect is not shown in Uyama et al for reasons that are not in the independent claim—namely the structure of the color shifting film. Hence, it is not necessarily clear why instant claim 1 would be allowable as generally argued by applicant. It should be noted that the reasons for allowance of USP 6,761,959 included the structure of the color shifting film (ie, absorber, dielectric and reflector layers) and further, that such were not provided by the instant examiner as alleged at page 4 of the amendment. However, a claim commensurate in scope with what was allowed in USP 6,761,959 would be allowable over the art of record. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Mathieu D. Vargot  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 1732

1/12/06